

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

RODNEY DUMAS,	
v.	Plaintiff,
LAURA BROWN, <i>et al.</i> ,	
	Defendants.

Case No. 24-cv-12999  
Honorable Gershwin A. Drain  
Magistrate Judge Elizabeth A. Stafford

---

**ORDER DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO  
APPOINT COUNSEL (ECF NO. 8)**

---

Plaintiff Rodney Dumas moves for appointment of counsel. ECF No. 8. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915, “[t]he court **may** request an attorney to represent any person unable to afford counsel.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1) (emphasis added). Although a district court is vested with broad discretion in determining whether to appoint counsel for an indigent civil litigant, appointment of such counsel is not a constitutional right. *Lavado v. Keohane*, 992 F.2d 601, 605 (6th Cir. 1993). Courts seldom appoint counsel in a civil case absent a showing of “exceptional circumstances.” *Id.* at 606. Appointment of counsel under § 1915(e)(1) is rare because “there are no funds appropriated to pay a lawyer or to even reimburse a

lawyer's expense." *Clarke v. Blais*, 473 F. Supp. 2d 124, 125 (D. Me. 2007). Thus, there must be a showing of "exceptional circumstances." *Lavado*, 992 F.2d at 606.

To determine whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the Court considers the nature of the case, the party's ability to represent himself, the complexity of the case, and whether the claims being presented are frivolous or have a small likelihood of success. *Id.* Because courts consider the party's likelihood of success, "[a]ppointment of counsel is almost always denied prior to the exhaustion of dispositive motions." *Dixon v. Kraft*, No. CV 16-14439, 2017 WL 11490775, at \*1 (E.D. Mich. Mar. 14, 2017), *objections overruled*, No. 16-14439, 2017 WL 11490776 (E.D. Mich. May 5, 2017).

Dumas says that he needs counsel because he lacks the training necessary to address the complex legal merits of the case and is unable to obtain discovery while he is in prison. These are not exceptional circumstances. Dumas's complaint is typed and easily understood. ECF No. 1. And Dumas only speculates that he may have difficulties with discovery. Discovery has yet to begin, and any discovery dispute can be resolved through motion practice. Last, Dumas presents a straightforward deliberate indifference claim against two medical providers. For these

reasons, Dumas's motion to appoint counsel is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.**

s/Elizabeth A. Stafford  
ELIZABETH A. STAFFORD  
United States Magistrate Judge

Dated: April 2, 2025

**NOTICE TO PARTIES ABOUT OBJECTIONS**

Within 14 days of being served with this order, any party may file objections with the assigned district judge. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a). The district judge may sustain an objection only if the order is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. 28 U.S.C. § 636. “**When an objection is filed to a magistrate judge’s ruling on a non-dispositive motion, the ruling remains in full force and effect unless and until it is stayed by the magistrate judge or a district judge.”** E.D. Mich. LR 72.2.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that this document was served on counsel of record and any unrepresented parties via the Court’s ECF System to their email or First Class U.S. mail addresses disclosed on the Notice of Electronic Filing on April 2, 2025.

s/Davon Allen  
DAVON ALLEN  
Case Manager